UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,130	09/29/2005	Takahiro Kishioka	125473	4076
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 05/25/201 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	HAMILTON, CYNTHIA		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/551,130	KISHIOKA, TAKAHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Cynthia Hamilton	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	tor form for appear by materially rec	adding or dirriping to	10 100000 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 2,3,5-7, 9, 13 and 14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t b - 5		. h. a. a. a. a. a. a. a.
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been conside because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🛮 Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
20 May 2010	/Cynthia Hamilton/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of claim 4 under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al, and rejections of claim 2 under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Lees et al..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to rejections of claim 4 in view of Nakao et al, Sato et al, Feit et al, Nemoto et al and Hibino et al as evidenced by cited references in the Final Office Action, applicants argue that the applied references doe not disclose a polymer compound having both a protected carboxyl group and an epoxy group as recited in claim 4. Applicants err in their reading of these references as cited by the examiner. All have present a polymer with both a protected carboxylic acid group and an glycidyl group which at paragraph[0031] of applicant's own specification is recognized as an epoxy group. Thus, rejections in the Final Office Action in view of these prior art references were properly made against claim 4 as now amended. These rejections stand for reasons of record.

Continuation of 13. Other: The proposed amendment of 18 May 2010 would be allowable if cancellation of claim 4 were added and the new amendment timely filed.